Page 1		TN MUE INTMED		
	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI			
	SON DIVISION	JACK	2 3	
	PLAINTIFFS	OLIVIA Y., ET AL	4	
	CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:04CV251LN	VS.	5	
	DEFENDANTS	HALEY BARBOUR, ET AL	6	
			7	
			8	
			9	
	OF ROBERT HAMRICK	DEPOSITION	10	
			11	
	ey Arant Rose & White, ol Street, Suite 450,		12	
	sissippi, on Monday,	Jackson, Miss	13	
	beginning at 8:30 a.m.	June 20, 2005,	14	
			15	
			16	
:			17	
			18	
		REPORTED BY:	19	
			20	
	cters	CELESTE O. WERKE State-Wide Repor	21	
	.)	4400 Old Canton Suite 160 (39211	22	
	sippi 39236	Post Office Box Jackson, Mississ	23	
	-9756	Telephone: (601 Fax: (601) 366-	24	
	1	www.legalink.com	25	

		1	
1	Page 2 APPEARANCES:	Ι.	Page 4
2		1 2	T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S Examination By: Page
3	ERIC E. THOMPSON, ESQUIRE MARCIA LOWRY, ESQUIRE	3	Examination By: Page Mr. Thompson5
	Children's Rights, Inc.	4	
4	404 Park Avenue South	5	Stipulation3
5	New York, New York 10016 Telephone: (212) 683-2210	6	
	Fax: (212) 683-4015	7	Exhibits:
6 7	ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS	8	No. 81 Foster Care Review Board's Report36
′	RUSTY FORTENBERRY, ESQUIRE	9	No. 82 Periodic Administrative
8	McGlinchey Stafford, PLLC	10	Determination on Foster Children47
9	200 South Lamar Street Suite 1100	11	No. 83 Issues Observed - Comparison Report
	Jackson, Mississippi 39201	12	Foster Care Review - FY 200486
10	Telephone: (601) 960-8400	13	No. 84 MDHS Division of Family & Children's
11	Fax: (601) 960-8431 ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANTS	14	Services - Individual Service Plan94
12		15	No. 85 Foster Care Review - Summary Report -
13 14		16	Issues in Foster Children's Cases101
15		17	No. 86 MDHS - Family & Children's Services -
16 17		18 19	Memo dated 6-17-03111
18		20	No. 87 Foster Care Review - Summary Report -
19		21	Issues in Foster Children's Cases114 No. 88 Page 2 of 2120
20 21		22	No. 89 Foster Care Review - Summary Report -
22		23	Issues in Foster Children's Cases126
23 24		24	Certificate of Court Reporter140
25		25	Witness Signature Page141
<u> </u>			3
	Page 3		Page 5
1	STIPULATION	1	ROBERT HAMRICK
2	It is hereby stipulated and agreed by	2	having been first duly sworn, was
3	and between the parties hereto, through their	3	examined and testified, as follows:
5	respective attorneys of record, that this	4	EXAMINATION BY MR. THOMPSON:
6	deposition may be taken at the time and place hereinbefore set forth, by Celeste O.	5	BY MR. THOMPSON:
7	Werkheiser, Registered Merit Reporter and Notary	7	Q. Good morning, Mr. Hamrick. A. Morning.
8	Public, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil	8	Q. Mr. Hamrick, would you state your
9	Procedure, as amended;	9	full name, please, for the record?
10	That the formality of READING AND	10	A. Robert Andrew Hamrick.
11	SIGNING is specifically NOT WAIVED;	11	Q. Mr. Hamrick, my name is Eric
12	That all objections, except as to the	12	Thompson. This is attorney Marcia Lowry. We
13	form of the questions and the responsiveness of	13	represent the plaintiffs in the matter of Olivia
14	the answers, are reserved until such time as	14	Y. v. Barbour. Do you understand that you're
15	this deposition, or any part thereof, may be	15	here today to give sworn testimony in that
16	used or is sought to be used in evidence.	16	matter?
17		17	A. Yes.
18		18	Q. Mr. Hamrick, did you review any
19		19	documents in preparation for this deposition?
20		20	A. No.
21		21	Q. Could you state what your position is
22		22	with DHS, please?
22			
23		23	A. My title is Program Administrator
24		24	Senior over the state's Foster Care Review
			· -

Page 50

- Q. And when you say in certain areas, are we talking about specific counties or regions?
- A. We produce a report each month that does show a comparison between the regions and what those issues are. And there are specific regions, areas of the state, where it's more problematic than others.
 - Q. And what is that report?
- A. It's a report we call Issues Observed During Foster Care Review. It's just one -- it's nothing automated. It's something I put together manually each month.
- Q. The issues observed include other
 issues besides the lack of adequate
 documentation, correct?
 - A. It could, yes, sir.
- Q. Focusing specifically on the accuracy of MACWIS entries, are there specific counties or regions that have come to your attention as having more problems than others in the accuracy of their MACWIS data entry?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And what counties or regions are

25 those?

Q. Has it come to your attention that certain cases have been scheduled for reviews that were not due for review?

- A. What was that now?
- Q. Let me ask it a different way. Has it come to your attention that there have been cases that were due for reviews that were not scheduled due to erroneous MACWIS information?
- A. I'm sorry. I hate to be hard headed. But you're saying there's cases that have not been scheduled for a review because the information entered into MACWIS was not timely or --
 - Q. Yes. That's my question.
- A. Okay. I'm sorry. Yes. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And what have you done as a result of that being brought to your attention?
- A. Well, when we find out about them, we just try to schedule them as quickly as we can for a review. Also, we will go in, me and -- I'll usually take that report I was telling you about earlier, that Children in Custody With Conference Dates More Than Six Months, I'll take that report and sit down with one of our -- one

Page 51

- A. Primarily Region 6 North, Forrest County. And Region 6 South, Harrison and Hancock.
- Q. And does that include the accuracy of placement data?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Does it include the accuracy of entry of the custody dates?
- A. That's been reported a time or two. It's nothing that the reviewers focus on too much. They're more concerned with what happens after they come into custody as opposed to when a date got entered into -- like when a custody got entered into a system. But they have -- reviewers have reported it to me on a few occasions.
- Q. You base the scheduling of Foster Care Reviews, though, on the entry date into custody, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So if that entry date into custody is erroneously entered or not entered into MACWIS, you would not be able to accurately schedule a case for a six-month review; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.

Page 53

Page 52

of the staff persons in our state office and she'll look up that information on -- I don't know what she calls the back end of the system, I don't know what she means by that, but that's what she does, and she can usually pinpoint exactly what happened on those cases.

So if I find that it's one where the county may have been late entering information into the system, I'll notate it on that report and send a copy of that report to the Regional Director who's over that area of the state as well as I'll send a copy to the reviewer because they still have — I mean, even though it was entered, the information was entered into the system late, they still need to review it so I'll tell them to go ahead and schedule it as quickly as they can for review.

- Q. How do those cases come to your attention?
- A. Like I said, on that printout that we get each month of Children in Custody With Conference Dates More Than Six Months.
- Q. I guess my question is more the ones that have not been scheduled because there was erroneous MACWIS information, how do those cases